Development the Wide Ridges Machine for Laying Drip Irrigation Tubes and Plastic Mulch in Ras Sudr-South of Sinai
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Abstract: Mulching facilitates more retention of soil moisture and helps in control of temperature fluctuations, improves physical, chemical and biological properties of soil. As, it adds nutrients to the soil and ultimately enhances the growth and yield of crops. The desired outcomes from raised-bed (wide ridge) are to: drain, aerate, prevent water logging, increase root growth, thereby reinforce the loose structure, increase soil organic matter, increase plant water use, reduce deep drainage and increase production. Therefore, a mulching machine was designed and manufactured to suit different dimensions of chilli raised-beds in Egypt in agriculture. The traditional machine using to build the wide ridges (raised-beds) was developed to suitable for installing raised-bed, cover it with plastic films and laying the drip irrigation pipes (surface and subsurface) at the same time. The developed part consists of main frame, plastic roll carrier unit, drip irrigation pipes roll carrier unit, press wheel assembly, soil covering unit, bed former and three hitching points. The developed machine has overall dimensions 2600 x 2000 x 1250 mm (L x W x H). The weight of the developed plastic mulch-laying machine is 322 kg (without plastic mulch roll and drip pipes roll). The total fabrication cost of the mulch machine was 20000 LE with 2019 price level. The developed machine was evaluated in Ras-Sudr research station, south Sinai governorate on calcareous sandy loam soil at three different speeds of operation (2, 3 and 4 km/h), two different methods to use plastic mulch (with and without) and two different methods to lay the drip irrigation pipes (surface and subsurface). The effect of study treatments on actual field capacity, field efficiency, pulling force, fuel consumption rate, total water stored, water consumptive use, water application efficiency, width and thickness of soil cover, width and height of raised-bed, mulching efficiency, chilli yield, productivity of irrigation water, soil salinity, cost of developed machine and cost for manual process were studied. From this study, it was concluded that using developed machine for installing raised-bed and laying both plastic mulch and drip irrigation pipes (surface and subsurface) at the same time. Led to a 68% decrease in costs compared to the manual method of using the machine before development to install the raised-bed, then laying both plastic mulch and drip irrigation pipes (surface and subsurface) manually. The results of evaluation experiment for the developed machine showed that although at low speed 2 km/h, the actual field capacity decreased and costs increased by an average of 44% and 62%, respectively, compared to the speed of 4 km/h. However, at a speed of 2 km/h, the pulling force, fuel consumption rate and soil salinity decreased about 14%, 15% and 14% respectively and field efficiency, total water stored, water consumptive use, water application efficiency, chilli yield and productivity of irrigation water about 4%, 6%, 4%, 16% and 15% respectively compared to the speed of 4 km/h. The results also showed that at a speed of 2 km/h, the width and thickness of the soil cover of the plastic edges increased about 74% and 98%, respectively, and the width and height of the raised-bed increased about 23% and 20%, respectively, compared to the speed of 4 km/h. The highest mulching efficiency 97% was achieved at a speed of 2 km/h and when laying drip irrigation pipes sub-surface compared to 66% at speed of 4 km/h and laying drip irrigation pipes on soil surface. Therefore, the study recommends using the developed machine to install a raised-bed and laying both of plastic mulch and drip irrigation pipes on soil surface. The highest efficiency in implementing this system at the lowest cost. Also, worked to increase the irrigation use efficiency, reduced soil salinity and increased yield of chilli crop.
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1. Introduction

The technology and practice of using plastics to improve crop yield is a relatively new science commonly referred to as plasticulture. Plastics are man-made long chain polymeric molecules [1]. The word plastic comes from the Greek word “plastikos”, which means ‘able to be molded into different shapes’ [2]. Mulch is a covering placed over the soil around the plants. Plastic mulch on the surface of the soil causes change in the microclimate on its vicinity. This results in moisture conservation, less soil compaction and higher CO₂ levels around plants [3]. A significant increase in yield of cantaloupes when grown with plastic mulch and subsurface drip irrigation. A combination of subsurface drip irrigation and plastic mulch can lead to a significant water savings [4]. Mulch is any biodegradable material placed on a surface of soil for the purpose of reducing evaporation, suppressing weed growth, reducing soil erosion, retaining moisture, and providing plant nutrients as the material decomposes. The result is that plastic mulch film is the primary choice for agricultural application. Plastic mulch film is widely used on high value crops, such as Tomatoes, Melons, and Strawberries increasingly on lower value crops such as corn and chilli. For arable soils, the most effective conservation practices for reducing water loss through surface evaporation are those that provide some degree of surface cover for the soil [5]. Mulching stimulates the microbial activity in soil through improvement of soil agro-physical properties [6]. Mulching also minimizes the use of N fertilizer [7], warms the soil [8], improves the soil physical condition [9], and suppresses weed growth [10] and could account for increased yield [11]. Mulching has many advantages: mulches are known to buffer soil temperature [12], prevent soil water loss by evaporation [13], inhibit weed germination, and suppress weed growth [14]. Further, they can protect soils from wind-, water-, and traffic-induced erosion and compaction [15]. Finally, mulch can improve crop production by enhancing soil quality by conserving soil moisture, enhancing soil biological activities, and improving the chemical and physical properties of soil [16]. The main benefits of mulching are early crop production, higher yields, better product quality, more efficient water use, reduced leaching of fertilizers, reduced soil and wind erosion, reduced herbicide application for weed control, and others related to pest and disease management [17]. The effect of plastic mulch on growth and yield of chilli (Capsicum annum L.) studied. Plastic mulches used in this study and bare soil was as a control. Plastic mulch generated higher soil temperature and soil moisture under mulch over the control. Plastic mulch encouraged weed population, which were suppressed under plastic. Mulching increased the number of fruits per plant and yield [18]. Mulching is an effective method of manipulating the crop-growing environment to increase crop yield and improve product quality by controlling soil temperature, retaining soil moisture and reducing soil evaporation [19]. Maximized use of store soil water, increased biomass productivity per unit water use and highest productivity into economic yield under limited water conditions are the principal goals of drought research [20]. Mulching increases soil temperature, maintains soil moisture, improves water and fertilizer absorption, reduces weed growth, and most importantly keeps produce high quality until harvesting to avoid fruits direct contact to the soil [21]. Mulching helps to conserve soil moisture content, also it modifies physical environment of soil, which helps in appropriate growth of crops [22]. The drip irrigation adoption increases of water use efficiency (60-200%), saves water (20-60%), reduces fertilization requirement (20-30%) through fertigation, produces better quality crop and increases yield (7-25%) as compared with conventional irrigation [23]. Surface soil mulching has useful effect on soil temperature and moisture and decreasing of the damaging effects of salinity water and soil resources [24]. Globally, over 80000 km² of arable land is covered every year by plastic mulch films. Using plastic mulch films, farmers are capable to improve the amount, the yield quality, decreasing the use of pesticides, fertilizers and irrigation water. Plastic mulch films are made predominantly from polyethylene [25]. Results from using mulch-laying machine for laying of plastic mulch on raised-beds indicated that labour saving from 81 to 92% and saving in irrigation water in range of 25 to 30% [26]. An experiment conducted to know the effect of plastic mulch, and drip irrigation in chilli on open field condition. The results under this study showed that the polyethylene mulch was significantly superior cover for obtaining high yield and good quality of fruit compared to without mulch [27]. Drip is an irrigation technology known to increase the control of water application and offers several advantages to growers. It reduces soil evaporation and weed population, increases plant transpiration, and when well-managed, excessive water drainage is unlikely to occur, thus allowing nutrients to be retained in the root zone for prolonged periods [28]. Plastic films are laid before crop planting or transplanting. This includes preparation of seedbed, spread mulch film and anchoring of edges of film. Raised seedbed has to be prepared for plastic mulching. Two persons are required for laying the plastic over the soil bed, while one more person behind them to shovel the soil onto the edges of the mulch. These operations when done by manually become very time consuming, labour intensive, tedious and costly. Manual method is economical for the small fields but not economical for the large fields [29]. The use of plastic mulch in agriculture has been increased dramatically in the last 10 years throughout the world. This increase is due to the benefits such as increase in soil temperature, effective weed management, moisture conservation, reduction of certain insect pest, high crop yield, less crop contamination, less soil compaction and improved germination rate and more efficient use of soil nutrients.
Manual mulch laying process is characterized with poor quality of work, disturbance of mulch sheet due to wind, labour intensive, mulch sheet getting torn down during handling and difficulty in covering of mulch sheet. Presently, six manual labours are required for laying plastic mulch sheet [31]. Plastic mulch increases soil temperature and maintains soil moisture, so it alters the exchange of matter and energy between the land surface and the atmosphere including the following aspects: (i) Plastic mulch can alter surface roughness, leading to more incoming sunlight reflected back to the atmosphere, and increased temperature of the atmosphere. (ii) Plastic mulch can prevent water to evaporate, thus altering water cycles. (iii) Plastic mulch and its inner surface with dew can block the emission of longwave radiation, increasing the temperature of the soil. (iv) Plastic mulch can block gas, such as N2O, CO2, and CH4, the exchange between soil and atmosphere [32]. Moreover, plastic mulch can influence the residual rate of soil organic materials in the soil, inevitably affecting soil microbial and soil ecosystems [33]. Plastic mulch is playing an increasingly important role in modern agriculture. On one hand, the widespread use of plastic mulch has significantly increased crop yield, which is of great significance for food security [34]. Mulching is process of covering the soil round the plant root area with a view to insulate the plant and its roots from the consequences of utmost temperature fluctuation. Mulching will create a microclimate for the plant, which fitted to simplest performance by regulating soil water, soil temperature, humidity, CO2 enrichment and increased microbial activity in the soil. Mulches can be classified into organic and inorganic (plastic mulch). (1) Organic: Organic materials such as crop residue by-product, farm yard manure and byproduct of timber industry is used for organic mulching. (2) Inorganic: materials such as plastic films known as inorganic mulches. Plastic mulches can be made available in different colors and thickness to obtain desired result. Organic mulch always does not provide sufficient weed control; they may also carry weed seeds and repeatedly slow down the soil warming. Especially in spring season, it will cause delay of plant growth. Weed rate cannot be controlled in organic method, by employing plastic it will reduce the weed waste on labor to clean the farm. It is documented that plastic film increases the yields of the many crops by inhibiting weeds growth and increasing soil temperature and moisture also reducing pest infestations. The use of plastic mulch has also become a standard practice for many farmers to control weeds [35]. Raised-bed (wide ridge) defines as a soil raised above the surrounding ground level (approximately 15-50cm height) in which the soil is formed in (70–120cm wide) beds, which can be of any length or shape. The desired outcomes from this management are to: drain, aerate, prevent water logging, increase root growth, thereby reinforce the loose structure, increase soil organic matter, increase plant water use, reduce deep drainage and increase production [36]. Raised bed technology showed less lodging as compared to flat sowing as well as 11.2% increase in grain yield along with 40–50% saving in irrigation water. The experiment also revealed that the raised bed planting method may be less susceptible to adversities of climate change because it portrays better ability to plant roots anchorage on beds and ability to withstand water stress [37]. Raised beds are reportedly saving 25-30% irrigation water and increasing water use efficiency [38]. Raised-bed providing better opportunities to leach salts from the furrows. However, under saline conditions [39].

Therefore, the aims of this research are development the wide ridge machine for installing raised-bed in Egypt conditions to lay plastic mulch firmly and equipped with a special unit for laying the drip irrigation pipes (surface and subsurface) underneath the plastic film at the same time. In addition, conducting a field experiment to evaluate the performance of the developed machine at different forward speeds and study its effect on soil moisture and chilli yield.

2. Materials and Methods

This study was carried out at ras-sudr research station, south of Sinai governorate (latitude: 29° 37’ 26’’ N, longitude: 32° 42’ 43” E and the elevation from sea surface=36.2m), on calcareous sandy loam soil. This soil suffers from the problem of soil, and irrigation water salinity where, salts in the soil-water solution decrease the amount of water available for plant uptake. Maintaining a higher soil-water content with more frequent irrigations relieves the effect of salt on plant moisture stress. The field experiment was carried out from July 2019 to January 2020 with an experimental area of about one hectare. Which irrigated by drip irrigation system. Before the soil preparation directly, the average moisture content of soil surface layer (0-30cm) was determined and found to be 19% (d.b.). Some physical and chemical properties of the soil and well irrigation water were measured and presented in Table 1.

**Table 1. Soil physical and chemical properties.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil parameters</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sand, Percent</td>
<td>69.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silt, Percent</td>
<td>20.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clay, Percent</td>
<td>10.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Textural class</td>
<td>Sandy Loam soil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulk Density, g/cm³</td>
<td>1.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field Capacity, Percent</td>
<td>24.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent Wilting Point, Percent</td>
<td>12.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infiltration Rate cm/h</td>
<td>5.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaCO₂, Percent</td>
<td>44.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O. M, Percent</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil pH</td>
<td>7.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irrigation water pH</td>
<td>7.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil E. C, ds/m</td>
<td>13.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irrigation water E. C, ds/m</td>
<td>4.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.1. The Specifications of Fabricated Machine

This research aims to develop a machine for former raised-beds to laying plastic mulch on its surface, as well as laying lateral drip irrigation pipes on the surface of the raised-beds
or burying it subsurface with the required depths.

2.2. Wide Ridges (Raised-bed) Machine

This machine used for installing the wide ridges (raised-bed) at the different shape and dimensions of section area required (width x height). The machine has overall dimensions 1300 x 1450 x 1250 mm (Length x Width x Height). Consisted of border box and three hitch points as shown in Figure 1.

2.3. Constructional Details of Development Wide Ridges Machine for Laying Both GR Pipes (Surface and Subsurface) and Plastic Mulch

The wide ridge machine used to install raised-bed, which, was developed to cover raised-bed with plastic mulch, and laying the drip irrigation pipes (surface and subsurface) at the same time. The constructional details of developed machine discussed as the following:

2.3.1. Main Frame

The main frame was made up of M. S. Square pipe of (50 x 50) mm section. The overall dimensions of the main frame were 1300 mm in length, 2000 mm in width and 620 mm above the ground level. All parts of machine like, press wheel assembly, plastic roll carrier unit, drip irrigation pipes roll carrier unit and soil-covering unit were mounted on the main frame by welding or by using nuts and bolts. This developed part attachment with the wide ridge machine to component one unit using to gathers the soil from the sides and forms a raised-bed in dimensions (900 mm width and 300 mm height), covering raised-bed with plastic mulch and laying drip irrigation pipes (surface and subsurface) at the same time. The dimensions and parts of developed machine presented in Figure 2. Also, Figure 3 shows the machine during operation.

2.3.2. Plastic Roll Carrier Unit

The plastic roll has to be carried by a horizontal M. S. shaft perpendicular to direction of travel provided. A shaft was mounted in between the two bearing, which is used to roll the plastic film. The shaft was supported on the main frame. The plastic sheet has to be placed under the press wheel manually before starting of the plastic mulch laying operation. The mulch film rotated and started to unwound automatically when the machine moves forward and mulch film was laid on the bed as shown in Figure 4. The plastic mulch used is of size 400 m length, 1.8 m width and 50-micron thickness.

2.3.3. Press Wheel

The operation of press wheel is to stretch the laid plastic mulch film on bed so that it will not get displaced while in operation. The height of the press wheel is adjustable.

2.3.4. Soil Covering Unit

Soil covering unit as attached to the frame for lifting, turning and throwing the soil over the plastic mulch at the side edges. Two concave discs have been used as soil covering unit. The depth of operation of the soil covering
device is adjustable.

2.3.5. Drip Irrigation Pipes Roll Carrier Unit

The drip irrigation pipes roll has to be carried by a horizontal M. S. shaft perpendicular to direction of travel provided. A shaft was mounted in between the two bearing which is used to roll the drip pipes irrigation. The shaft was supported on the wide ridge unit. The drip pipes have to be placed under dead weight manually before starting of the drip pipes laying operation. The drip pipes rotated and started to unwind automatically when the machine moves forward and drip pipes was laid on the bed and under the plastic film (surface) or under soil surface at depth of 15cm (subsurface) as shown in Figures 5 and 6.

2.4. Tractor

A 90 hp at 2200 rpm tractor BELARUS diesel engine was used during experiment. The tractor Model, D-243.1. Power take-off shaft 540-1000 rpm. Tractor weight 3460 kg. Tires front 20 x 9.5 and rear 38 x 15.5. Distance between wheels (1350-1850 mm front wheel and 1450-2200 mm rear wheel). The tractor has 18 forward speed and 4 reverse speed.

2.5. Experimental Design

The experimental area was about of one hectare. This experiment was established as split-split plots in three replicates, divided into main plot involved three levels of operation speed (2, 3 and 4 km/h). Each main plot includes sub-plots, which involved two methods for using plastic mulch (with and without). Each sub-plot includes sub-sub plots, which involved two methods for laying drip irrigation pipes (surface and subsurface). Experimental details presented in Table 2.

2.6. Irrigation System

Irrigation system in this study was drip irrigation (surface and subsurface). Three drip irrigation pipes were laying on each raised-bed as shown in Figure 7, which irrigates three rows of chilli plants. Geometry with dripper to dripper spacing of 45 cm.

2.7. Crop Water Requirement

Crop water requirement was calculated using the reference evapotranspiration (ET\(\text{o}\)) and the crop coefficients (K\(\text{c}\)) by the following equation:

\[ \text{ET}_\text{c} = \text{ET}_\text{o} \times K\text{c} \]  

Where; \(\text{ET}_\text{o}\)=Crop Evapotranspiration (mm/day), \(\text{ET}_\text{c}\)=Reference Evapotranspiration (mm/day) and \(K\text{c}\)=Crop coefficients.

Represent the Reference Evapotranspiration (\(\text{ET}_\text{o}\)) according to (Center Laboratory for Agricultural Climate, CLAC.), the average crop coefficients (\(K\text{c}\)) for Chilli according to Andreas P. (2002) \[40\] as shown in Table 3.

2.8. Use of Polyethylene Mulch in the Experiment

In order to maximize water use efficiency by the plant to improve the quality green chilli, the use of mulch becomes an important cultural practice in many regions for the commercial production of vegetable crop. A silver polyethylene mulch of 50-µm thickness (UV- protected) was spread over the raised-bed. Two sides of mulch were buried under the soil. After installing raised-bed and covering it with plastic mulch and laying drip irrigation pipes, plants were transplanted using manual transplanter as shown in Figure 8.

\[ \text{IR}_{\text{n}} = \text{ET}_{\text{o}} - \text{P}_{\text{eff}} + \text{LR} \]  

Where: \(\text{IR}_{\text{n}}\)=Net irrigation requirement (mm/day), \(\text{ET}_{\text{o}}\): Crop evapotranspiration (mm/day), \(\text{P}_{\text{eff}}\)=Effective dependable rainfall, (mm/day) and \(\text{LR}\)=Leaching requirement (mm).

Gross irrigation requirements account for losses of water incurred during conveyance and application to the field.

\[ \text{IR}_{\text{g}} = \text{IR}_{\text{n}} \times \text{E}_{\text{a}} \]  

Where: \(\text{IR}_{\text{g}}\)=Gross irrigation requirements (mm/day), \(\text{IR}_{\text{n}}\)=Net irrigation requirement (mm/day) and \(\text{E}_{\text{a}}\)=Overall irrigation efficiency (%).

Net irrigation requirement (\(\text{IR}_{\text{n}}\)) is derived from the field balance equation:

\[ \text{IR}_{\text{n}} = \text{ET}_{\text{o}} - \text{P}_{\text{eff}} + \text{LR} \]  

Therefore, total water applied with leaching requirement for chilli crop at drip irrigation system was 6372 m\(^3\)/ha.

2.8. Use of Polyethylene Mulch in the Experiment

In order to maximize water use efficiency by the plant to improve the quality green chilli, the use of mulch becomes an important cultural practice in many regions for the commercial production of vegetable crop. A silver polyethylene mulch of 50-µm thickness (UV-protected) was spread over the raised-bed. Two sides of mulch were buried under the soil. After installing raised-bed and covering it with plastic mulch and laying drip irrigation pipes, plants were transplanted using manual transplanter as shown in Figure 8.

\[ \text{IR}_{\text{n}} = \text{ET}_{\text{o}} - \text{P}_{\text{eff}} + \text{LR} \]  

Where: \(\text{IR}_{\text{n}}\)=Net irrigation requirement (mm/day), \(\text{ET}_{\text{o}}\): Crop evapotranspiration (mm/day), \(\text{P}_{\text{eff}}\)=Effective dependable rainfall, (mm/day) and \(\text{LR}\)=Leaching requirement (mm).

\[ \text{IR}_{\text{g}} = \text{IR}_{\text{n}} \times \text{E}_{\text{a}} \]  

Where: \(\text{IR}_{\text{g}}\)=Gross irrigation requirements (mm/day), \(\text{IR}_{\text{n}}\)=Net irrigation requirement (mm/day) and \(\text{E}_{\text{a}}\)=Overall irrigation efficiency (%).
2.9. Transplanting of Seedlings

Chilli seedlings (Jayanti) were transplanted using manual transplanter as shown in Figure 8 in three rows with spacing of 30 cm x 45 cm on raised-bed of 90 cm width having three laterals. Transplanting was carried out on 27th of July 2019.

2.10. Measurements

2.10.1. Theoretical Field Capacity, Actual Field Capacity and Field Efficiency

Theoretical field capacity, actual field capacity and field efficiency were calculated by using equations mentioned by Keppner et al. (1978) [41].

2.10.2. Pulling Force

Pulling force for machine was measured by hydraulic dynamometer, which was, coupled between the two tractors with the attaching machine to estimate its draught force. A considerable number of readings taken at a time interval 10 seconds to obtain an accurate average of draught force.

2.10.3. Fuel Consumption Rate

Fuel consumption per unit time was determined by measuring the volume of fuel consumed during operation time. It was measured using the fuel meter equipment as shown in Figure 9 the length of line which marked by the marker tool on the paper sheet represents the fuel consumption. The fuel meter was calibrated prior and the volume of fuel was determined accurately.
2.10.4. Soil Moisture Content and Soil Salinity

Soil moisture content in 0-60 cm soil layers at 15 cm was measured using a TDR 300 soil moisture meter (time domain reflector meter). Soil salinity (Direct soil EC probe).

![Figure 9. Fuel meter for measuring fuel consumption.](image)

2.10.5. Total Water Stored in the Effective Root Zone

Water stored in the root zone was determined according to James (1988) [38] as follows:

\[ TWS = \sum_{i=1}^{4} \left( \frac{\theta_i - \theta_{wp}}{100} \right) D_r \times \rho_b \]  

Where: TWS=Water stored in the root zone (mm), \( \theta_i \)=Soil moisture content at field capacity, (%), \( \theta_{wp} \)=Soil moisture content at permanent wilting point, (%), \( D_r \)=Effective root depth, (mm), \( \rho_b \)=Soil bulk density, (g/cm\(^3\)) for depth and \( i= \)Number of soil layers (1-4).

2.10.6. Water Consumptive Use in Effective Root Zone

Water consumptive use by growing plants was calculated based on soil moisture depletion (SMD) according to Hansen et al. (1979) [43].

\[ WCU = \sum_{i=1}^{4} \left( \frac{\theta_i - \theta_{i+1}}{100} \right) D_r \times \rho_b \]  

Where: WCU=Water consumptive use in the effective root zone (mm), \( \theta_i \)=Soil moisture content before next irrigation, (%), \( \theta_{i+1} \)=Soil moisture content at field capacity, (%), \( D_r \)=Effective root depth, (mm), \( \rho_b \)=Soil bulk density, (g/cm\(^3\)) for depth and \( i= \)Number of soil layers (1-4).

2.10.7. Water Application Efficiency

Water application efficiency was calculated according to Israelsen and Hansen (1962) [44] as follows:

\[ WAE = \left( \frac{TWS}{TWA} \right) \times 100 \]  

Where: WAE=Water application efficiency (%), TWS=Total water stored in the effective root zone (m\(^3\)/ha) and TWA=Total water applied (m\(^3\)/ha).

2.10.8. Productivity of Irrigation Water

Productivity of irrigation water (PIW) was calculated according to Ali et al. (2007) [45] as kg yield/m\(^3\) water applied.

\[ PIW = \frac{Y}{L} \]  

Where: Y=Chilli crop yield (kg/ha) and L=Irrigation water applied m\(^3\)/ha.

2.10.9. Evaluation of Plastic Mulching Process

(i). Mulching Efficiency

The mulching performance was evaluated by observing the plastic mulching film through, the length of correct covered mulching film edges, un-covered mulching film edges, injuries in mulching film and air pockets underneath the mulching film in each treatment. During the experimental work, the performance of mulching machine was assessed by taking randomly selected 10m length of covered raised beds as the following experimental formula:

\[ \text{Mulching efficiency (\( \eta_m \))} = 100 - (Ru + Ri + Rp) \]  

Where: Ru=The percentage of the un-covered mulched film edge length in 10 m (%), Ri=The percentage of the injured mulched film in 10 length m (%) and Rp=The percentage of the air pockets under the film in length of 10 m (%).

(ii). Width and Thickness of Soil Cover

The width and thickness of soil covered on each side of plastic sheet was measured by using a measuring scale.

(iii). Height and Width of Bed

After the operation of plastic mulch laying by study machine, the height and width of bed formed by the machine was measured at different locations in the field with the help of measuring scale.

2.10.10. The Cost and Chilli Yield

(i). Cost Analysis and Economical Evaluation

The cost analysis was calculated according to Oida, (1997) [46]. It was performed in two steps. The first step was to calculate the cost of the materials and fabrication. The second step was to calculate the mulching machine operating cost. In order to evaluate the financial viability of the mulching machine, three parameters computed and analyzed. Also, a comparison between the manual mulching cost and the mechanical mulching cost is conducted. These costs include depreciation (D), annual capital interest taxes (I), housing and insurance cost (THI), repair and maintenance cost (R), fuel cost (F), lubrication cost (Lc), and labor cost (L).

\[ Tc = \left( \frac{[(D)+(L)+(THI)]+[RI+(F)+(Lc)+(L)]}{na} \right) \]  

Where: Tc=Total cost for machine or tractor (LE/h) and na=Annual working hours=500 (h/year).

\[ Tc = \left[ \frac{\left( \frac{Pc}{Sv} \cdot \frac{Pr}{100} \cdot \frac{F}{100} \cdot \frac{L}{100} \right)}{\left( \frac{Pc}{Sv} \cdot \frac{Pr}{100} \cdot \frac{F}{100} \cdot \frac{L}{100} \right)} \right] \cdot \frac{(0.02 \times Pc)}{100} \cdot \frac{(F \times L)}{100} \cdot \left( \frac{Lc \cdot L}{100} \right) \]  

Where: Pc=Mulching machine manufacturing price or tractor price (L. E), Sv=Salvage value=5% from the
mulching machine manufacturing price or tractor price (L. E), Y=Machine age=5 years for machine and 10 years for tractor, i=Interest rate=14%, c=Coefficient of repair and maintenance=1 for tractor, 0.6 for the mulching machine, fc=Actual fuel consumption=measured (l/h), fp=Fuel price=6.75 (L. E)=for diesel fuel, Lc=Lubrication cost=14% of fuel cost, Ni=Number of labors=Mulching crew (one labor), L=Labor cost=120 L.E/day, day (7 hours) (L.E/h), n=Annual working days=(500/7) and na=Annual working hours=500, h/year.

The cost was calculated also for machine before development at installing raised-bed only after that laying plastic mulch and drip irrigation pipes (surface and subsurface) manually. The manual mulching need seven workers, two workers for spreading the plastic roll on the top of the raised-bed, one worker for filling plastic bags and put them on the top of the roll to prevent it from fly by wind and four workers to buried the side of plastic film edges with soil. The manual laying drip irrigation pipes need two workers for surface pipes and three workers for subsurface. This process needs about an average of 14 hours/hectare (7 hours work in day) this means that the one hectare needs two days’ work.

(ii). Total Cost Per Unit Area
Total cost per unit area was determined as follows:

\[
TCA = \frac{C}{AFC}
\]  

Where: TCA=Total cost per unit area (L.E/ha), AFC=Actual field capacity (ha/h) and C=Hourly cost (L.E/h).

(iii). Chilli Yield
The yield of green chilli from net plot in every treatment was observed in every picking. The total yield of the fruit harvested from net plot was recorded by cumulating yield per picking and expressed in kg per plot and then it is converted into yield per hectare.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Effect of Study Treatments on Actual Field Capacity, Field Efficiency and the Operation Cost

Data in Table 4 and Figures 10 and 11 showed that actual field capacity (AFC) increased about 43% and 82% when operation speed increased from 2 to 3 and 4 km/h respectively. In addition, field efficiency (FE) decreased about 5% and 9% when operation speed increased from 2 to 3 and 4 km/h respectively. This may be due to the fact that, with increase in operation speed both theoretical and actual field capacity will increase. However, rate of increase of AFC is quite less than that of theoretical field capacity. Therefore, FE may decrease with increasing of speed. It was observed that AFC and FE decreased about 6% and 5% respectively when laying plastic mulch compared to treatment without laying mulch. Also, AFC and FE decreased about 4% and 3% respectively when laying sub-surface drip irrigation pipes compared to surface laying. This may be due to the fact that, the laying plastic mulch and sub-surface drip irrigation pipes caused decreasing in the distance covered by the machine per unit time as a result, AFC decreased and also field efficiency decreased. On the other hand, Figure 12 showed that the cost of developed machine (CDM) decreased about 25% and 38% when operation speed increased from 2 to 3 and 4 km/h respectively. And CDM increased about 15% and 55% when laying both of plastic mulch and sub-surface drip irrigation pipes respectively compared to without mulching and surface laying drip irrigation pipes. Total cost for laying plastic mulch, laying surface drip irrigation pipes and laying sub-surface drip irrigation pipes manually increased about 312%, 197% and 218% respectively compared to use developed machine at same operation. In general, the total cost for installing raised-bed, laying plastic mulch and laying drip irrigation pipes (surface and sub-surface) increased about 208% for manual method compared to use developed machine.

Table 4. Effect of study treatments on actual field capacity, field efficiency and the cost.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FS, km/h</th>
<th>PM</th>
<th>GIP</th>
<th>AD</th>
<th>BD</th>
<th>CDP, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>AFC</td>
<td>FE</td>
<td>CMT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>0.226</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>1002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>W</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>1056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>W</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>0.238</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>871</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>W</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>0.232</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>924</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>0.325</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>753</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>W</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>0.317</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>793</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>W</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>0.343</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>655</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>W</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>0.334</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>694</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>0.412</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>W</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>0.400</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>655</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>W</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>0.435</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>539</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>W</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>0.423</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>567</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Where: FS=Forward speed, km/h, PM=Plastic mulch, GIP=Drip irrigation pipes system, AD=Developed machine for installing raised-bed, laying plastic mulch and laying drip irrigation pipes (surface and subsurface) at the same time, BD=Machine before development for installing raised-bed only, CDP=Cost decreasing percentage of developed machine compared to manual method, AFC=Actual field capacity, ha/h, FE=Field efficiency, %, CMT=Cost of machine and tractor, L.E/ha, CL=Cost of labors, L.E/ha, TCM=Total cost for manual process, L.E/ha, W=with mulching plastic, WO=without mulching plastic, S=laying surface drip irrigation pipes and SS=laying sub-surface drip irrigation pipes.
3.2. Effect of Study Treatments on Pulling Force and Fuel Consumption Rate

As shown in Figures 13 and 14 pulling force (PF) increased about (9% - 17%) and fuel consumption rate (FC) increased about (8% - 16%) when operation speed increased from 2 to 3 and 4 km/h respectively. PF and FC increased about 10% and 11% respectively when laying plastic mulch compared to without laying treatment. Also, PF and FC increased about 3% and 4% respectively when laying sub-surface drip irrigation pipes compared to lay it on surface.

3.3. Effect of Study Treatments on Total Water Stored and Water Consumption Use

Figures 15 and 16 showed that total water stored (TWS) and water consumption use (WCU) decreased when operation speed increased from 2 to 3 and 4 km/h about (2.5% - 4%) and (4% - 6%) respectively. These results may be due to the fact that, as operation speed increased the machine efficiency to install raised-bed and laying plastic mulch decreased which caused decreasing water...
stored and water consumption. The results showed too increased in TWS and WCU about 22% and 23% respectively when laying plastic mulch compared to without laying plastic mulch. TWS and WCU increased about 12% and 17% respectively, when laying sub-surface drip irrigation pipes compared to laying it on surface.

3.4. Effect of Study Treatments on Water Application Efficiency and Soil Salinity

Data as shown in Figures 17 and 18 proved that water application efficiency decreased when operation speed increased from 2 to 3 and 4 km/h about 2.5% and 4% respectively. In addition, soil salinity increased when operation speed increased from 2 to 3 and 4 km/h about 9% and 17% respectively. On the other hand, water application efficiency increased about 22% when laying plastic mulch compared to without laying and increased about 12% when laying sub-surface drip irrigation pipes compared to laying it on surface. Soil salinity decreased about 38% when laying plastic mulch and about 21% when laying sub-surface drip irrigation pipes compared to without plastic mulch and laying surface drip pipes respectively.

3.5. Effect of Study Treatments on Chilli Yield, Productivity of Irrigation Water and Mulching Efficiency

Figures 19 and 20 showed that chilli yield (CY) and productivity of irrigation water (PIW) decreased when operation speed increased from 2 to 3 and 4 km/h about (7% - 14%) and (8% - 14%) respectively. In addition, increasing of CY and PIW when laying plastic mulch and laying sub-surface drip irrigation pipes about (29% - 17%) and (28% - 16%) respectively, compared to without laying plastic mulch and laying surface drip irrigation pipes. Figure 21 showed that the highest mulching efficiency 96% at operation speed 2 km/h and laying sub-surface drip irrigation pipes. Then 93% at operation speed 2 km/h and laying surface drip irrigation pipes. Then 85% at operation speed 3 km/h and laying sub-surface drip irrigation pipes. Then 82% at operation speed 3 km/h and laying surface drip irrigation pipes. Then 70% at operation speed 4 km/h and laying sub-surface drip irrigation pipes. Then 67% at operation speed 4 km/h and laying...
surface drip irrigation pipes. These results may be due to the fact that, as operation speed increased the machine gets less time to collect and cover soil over the edges of plastic as a result, decreased mulching efficiency. Also, when laying plastic mulch with surface drip pipes may be caused injured plastic film and made air pockets under the plastic mulch as a result, decreased mulching efficiency.

3.6. Effect of Forward Speed on Width and Thickness of Soil Cover for Plastic Mulch Edges

The effect of operation speed on width and thickness of soil cover for plastic mulch edges showed in Figure 22. With increasing in operation speed from 2 to 4 km/h, the width of soil cover decreased from 23.63 cm to 13.58 cm and the thickness of soil cover decreased from 17.51 cm to 8.83 cm. These results may be due to the fact that, the machine gets less time to collect and cover soil over the edges of plastic sheet as speed increases.

3.7. Effect of Forward Speed on Width and Height of Raised-Bed

Results in Figure 23 showed that with increasing in operation speed from 2 to 4 km/h, the raised-bed width decreased from 88.71 cm to 73.85 cm and the height of raised-bed decreased from 29.2 cm to 23.7 cm. These results may be due to the fact that, the machine gets less time to gather soil on the field as speed increases.
4. Conclusion

A wide ridge (raised-bed) equipment was developed to mechanize the conventional plastic mulching and laying drip irrigation pipes (surface and subsurface) which, worked to facilitate the implementation of this method, saves time, labor and increases timeliness of operation. By using plastic mulch, and sub-surface drip irrigation pipes maintain the soil moisture and increased chilli yield. From the study results, found that as forward speed increased from 2 to 4 km/h pulling force and fuel consumption rate increased about 17% and 16% respectively. For installing raised-bed and laying both plastic mulch and drip irrigation pipes, 2 km/h speed is found to be better. Using plastic mulch and sub-surface drip irrigation pipes achieved the highest percentage of productivity of irrigation water 28% and yield of chilli crop 29% while, achieved the lowest percentage of soil salinity 38% compared to not using plastic mulch and using surface drip irrigation pipes. On the other hand, providing such a mulching machine is surely immediate solution for the advancement in the cultivating methods in agricultural sector
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